



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO	
09/848,417	05/04/2001		Ib Mendel-Hartvig	1614-0248P	7800	
24256	7590	12/28/2004		EXAMINER		
	E & SHOHI	,	NGUYEN, BA	AO THUY L		
	IED CENTER IFTH STREE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	TI, OH 4520			1641		
				DATE MAILED: 12/28/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/848,417	MENDEL-HARTVIG ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bao-Thuy L. Nguyen	1641	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 09 December 2004 FAILS TO Therefore, further action by the applicant is require final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eitle condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	d to avoid abandonment of this ner: (1) a timely filed amendme Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3)	application. A proper reply to a nt which places the application in	
PERIOD FO	OR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shop of the part of the shop of th	his Advisory Action, or (2) the date set fo later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS The date on which the petition under 37 of of extension and the corresponding amous ortened statutory period for reply originally	g date of the final rejection. OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under y set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the final Office action; or (3) as set forth in the final Office action; or (3) as set forth in the final Office action; or (3) as set forth in the final Office action; or (3) as set forth in the final Office action; or (3) as set forth in the final Office action in the fin	n
1.⊠ A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>12/9/2004</u> . A 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (3	Appellant's Brief must be filed w 37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dism	ithin the period set forth in issal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be enter	ered because:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require	further consideration and/or se	arch (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see	Note below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	ation in better form for appeal b	y materially reducing or simplifying t	he
(d) they present additional claims without on NOTE:	canceling a corresponding numb	per of finally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following	g rejection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	would be allowable if submitted	in a separate, timely filed amendmen	nt
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ requapplication in condition for allowance because		n considered but does NOT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be consider raised by the Examiner in the final rejection		DLELY to issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amen explanation of how the new or amended cla	dment(s) a)⊠ will not be entere ims would be rejected is provid	ed or b) will be entered and an ed below or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as for	ollows:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> .		!	
Claim(s) objected to: None.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: Non			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a)	☐ approved or b)☐ disapprov	red by the Examiner.	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

10. Other: ____

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Bao-Thuy L. Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1641 12/23